

Time Allowed : 90 minutes  
Maximum Points: 100

Answer all questions. Show intermediate steps and calculations wherever relevant. Figures in parantheses show points assigned for each part.

1. Consider the regression model:

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + \epsilon_i$$

where  $E(\epsilon_i) = 0$ ,  $\text{var}(\epsilon_i) = \sigma^2$ , and  $\text{cov}(\epsilon_i, \epsilon_j) = 0$  ( $i \neq j$ ).

a) Derive the OLS estimator ( $\hat{\beta}_2$ ). (5)

b) Now define the variables

$$Z_i = \frac{Y_i - a}{b} \quad \text{and} \quad W_i = \frac{X_i - c}{d}$$

Consider the regression

$$Z_i = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 W_i + \mu_i$$

What is the relation between the OLS estimator of  $\alpha_2$  ( $\hat{\alpha}_2$ ) and  $\hat{\beta}_2$ ? (10)

c) Prove that regressions in parts (a) and (b) will have the same  $R^2$ . (5)

d) How does the estimated variance of  $\mu_i$  compare with the estimated variance of  $\epsilon_i$ ? (5)

2. The demand for Ceylonese tea in the U.S. is given by the equation:

$$\ln Q = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln P_C + \beta_2 \ln P_T + \beta_3 \ln P_S + \beta_4 \ln Y + u$$

where  $Q$  = import of Ceylonese tea in the U.S;

$P_C$  = price of Ceylone tea;

$P_I$  = price of Indian tea;

$P_B$  = price of Brazilian coffee;

$Y$  = disposable income in the U.S.

$M_C$  = \$ value of Ceylone tea imported in the U.S.

The following regressions were obtained from  $n = 22$  observations.

$$\ln Q = 2.837 - 1.481 \ln P_C + 1.181 \ln P_I + 0.186 \ln P_B + 0.257 \ln Y$$

(2.0)      (0.987)      (0.690)      (0.134)  
(0.370)

$$\ln M_C = -0.738 + 0.199 \ln P_I + 0.261 \ln Y$$

(0.820)      (0.155)      (0.165)

ESS = 0.4277. -  $\checkmark$   
ESS = 0.6788. -  $\checkmark$

Figures in paranthese are standard errors.

(a) Explain how one can test

$$H_0: \beta_I = -1; \beta_2 = 0, \beta_3, \beta_4 \neq 0; \text{ against}$$

$$H_1: \beta_i \neq 0 \quad (i=1, 2, 3, 4).$$

using the information provided. (5)

(b) Use the F test [at the 5% level of significance] to test the null hypothesis in part (a). (5)

(c) What is the economic significance of the results? (5)

3. Consider the following regression without an intercept:

$$Y_i = \beta X_i + e_i$$

where  $E(ee') = \sigma^2 I$ .

a) Derive the OLS estimator for  $\beta$  ( $\hat{\beta}$ ). (5)

b) Consider an alternative estimator

$$\bar{\beta} = \frac{\sum_i Y_i}{\sum_i X_i}$$

Prove that  $\bar{\beta}$  is an unbiased estimator. (5)

c) Derive the varainces of  $\hat{\beta}$  and  $\bar{\beta}$ . (8)

d) Prove that  $\text{var}(\beta) < \text{var}(\bar{\beta})$ . (7)

4. Consider the k-variable regression

$$y = X\beta + e$$

$$E(e) = 0; E(ee') = \sigma^2 I.$$

Now consider the OLS residuals

$$\hat{e} = y - X\hat{\beta}$$

$$\hat{e} = y - X\beta$$

$$\text{where } \hat{\beta} = (X'X)^{-1}X'y$$

$$\hat{e} = y - X(X'X)^{-1}X'y = My \quad E(\hat{e}) \Rightarrow M(X\beta + e) = Me$$

$$I - X(X'X)^{-1}X'$$

$$\frac{1}{n-k}$$

a) Prove that  $E(\hat{e}) = 0$ . (5)

$$\hat{e} = Me$$

$$\hat{e}' = e'M$$

$$\hat{e}\hat{e}' = Mee'M$$

b) Derive  $E(\hat{e}\hat{e}')$  and show that  $E(\hat{e}\hat{e}') = \sigma^2 I$ . (10)

(10)

$$E(\hat{e}\hat{e}') = E(Mee'M)$$

$$= E(\text{Tr } e'e)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sigma^2 n$$

c) Consider now the regression

$$\hat{e} = X\delta + \eta$$

Prove that the  $R^2$  for this regression will always be 0. (5)

$$\text{Tr}(M) \rightarrow \sigma^2(n-k)$$

5. The following summary data relate to  $n = 5$  observations:

|         |    |    |     |                |      |      |      |            |
|---------|----|----|-----|----------------|------|------|------|------------|
|         | 5  | 15 | 25  |                |      |      |      |            |
| $X'X =$ | 15 | 55 | 81  | $(X'X)^{-1} =$ | 26.7 | 4.5  | -8.0 | 20         |
|         | 25 | 81 | 189 |                | 4.5  | 1.0  | -1.5 | $X'y = 76$ |
|         |    |    |     |                | -8.0 | -1.5 | 2.5  | 109        |

$\text{var}(\beta_1)$   
 $\text{var}(\beta_2)$

The data provided above were used to estimate the regression:

$$Y_i = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + e_i$$

where each  $e_i$  is independently distributed as  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

The OLS estimators were:

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = 4; \hat{\beta}_2 = 2.5; \hat{\beta}_3 = -1.5; \hat{\sigma}^2 = 13.25$$

a) Test at the 10% level of significance the hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_2 = 2 \text{ using the 't' test. (5)}$$

b) Test at the 5% level of significance the hypothesis

$$H_0: \beta_2 + \beta_3 = 0 \text{ using the F test. (10)}$$